

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARL FOUST,

Plaintiff,

v.

CONSUMER ATTORNEY MARKETING
SERVICE,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:20-cv-02553-WBS-JDP (PC)

ORDER

The Clerk of Court closed this case on January 3, 2022.¹ ECF No. 35. Plaintiff has since submitted a filing seeking refund of the filing fee.² This filing does not appear to be one contemplated by the Federal Rules of Civil or Appellate Procedure. The filings will therefore be disregarded. Plaintiff is notified that the court will not respond to future filings in this action that are not authorized by either the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiff's filing, ECF No. 39, is denied.

¹ The assigned district judge referred this matter to the undersigned. ECF No. 39.

² The court granted plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, which requires him to pay the filing fee in monthly installments. See *Taylor v. Delatoore*, 281 F.3d 844, 847 (9th Cir. 2002) ("Under the PLRA, all prisoners who file IFP civil actions must pay the full amount of the filing fee."); 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(b)(1) & (2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 28, 2023


JEREMY D. PETERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE